

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/519,477	12/30/2004	Hiroaki Hamada	0033-0971PUS1	2658	
2292 BIRCH STEW	7590 04/23/200 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAM	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747		HSU, /	HSU, AMY R		
FALLS CHUI	RCH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2622			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/23/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail $\,$ address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/519,477	HAMADA ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	AMY HSU	2622				

	AMY HSU	2622						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 01 April 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. So The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavite al (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request					
The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date								
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.					
Extension of time may be an extension and the appropriate statement of the major and the appropriate statement feel have been first in the petition under 37 CFR 1,136(a) and the appropriate statement feel have been first it is to faits for upwages of determining the period of destreamining and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate statement have been first it is to faits for upwages of determining the period of destreamining the period of corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate statement under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (a) above, if checked, a /w, preply resceived by the Office latter than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the notice of Appeal has been filed on	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
AMENDMENTS								
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t They raise new issues that would require further cor They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed) 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause					
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the								
n non-allowable claim(s). Non-allowable claim(s). To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.								
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing- entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
AHIANIT TOANI								
	/NHAN T TRAN/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2622						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant's argue after final that the prior art cited in the final rejection, particularly Sogabe (US 7176964) does not teach an image playback unit for continuously and simultaneously displaying on a display unit the plurality of original image data while the continuous photographing function is set.

Examiner maintains that the prior art and final rejection teach the above limitation. Sogabe teaches the option of at least two image pickup modes in pickup mode (and continous pickup mode (and Lines 27-28). The user has a choice between the two, and in the case that the user chooses continuous pick up mode, then there will be a playback event that simultaneously plays back the continuous frame information stored containing the continuously captured image data (Col 6 Lines 34-36). Thus, Sogabe teaches two different possibilities, one of the possibilities is that the user selects continuous playback mode and photos are captured continuously with one touch of the shutter button and subsequently the images are played back simultaneously and continuously. This is as opposed to the other possibility that the user chooses single capture mode which will result in single image playback. Therefore the first possibility eaches the instant application's claims. The instant application does not limit the reproduction mode to not be set. The last line of claim 1 which reads': while the continuous photographing function is set... is interpreted to mean the continuous photographing mode is set as opposed to the single capture mode is set at the stant of operation, and therefore at the time of playback, continuous images will be displayed. The portion of claim 1 clied does not have to be interpreted to mean the capture mode is set, and the reproduction mode has been set, as is the applicant's interpretation and is not supported by the claim language. The claim language does not limit whether the reproduction mode is set or not. Thus examiner maintains the final rejection.